

Contents

Preface to the 2014 Publication	7
Preface	9
Introduction	11
I Heuristic Derivation	13
1 Interpretations of the Wave Function	14
2 Recapitulation of the Conventional Quantum-mechanical Theory	17
3 Critique of the Conventional Quantum-mechanical Theory	19
3.1 The State-Observable Dualism	19
3.2 The State After Measurement	20
3.3 The Arbitrariness Problem	20
3.4 The Concept of Measurement in the Conventional Theory	22
3.5 The Real Occurrence of Transitions	23
3.6 Conclusion	23
4 An Alternative to Statistical Mechanics	24
4.1 Conceptual Critique of Different Schools in Statistical Mechanics	24
4.1.1 The Kinetic Theory of Matter	24
4.1.2 Boltzmann Statistics	25
4.1.3 The Ergodic Theory	25
4.1.4 Statistical Ensembles	25
4.1.5 Different Concepts of Probability	26
4.1.6 The Use of Mean Values	27
4.1.7 Critique of the Ensemble Concept	28
4.1.8 Non-equilibrium States and Irreversible Processes	28
4.2 An Event Theory of Collective Phenomena	29
4.2.1 Mechanics as Basis for Macroscopic Phenomena	29
4.2.2 General and Dynamic-depending Results	30
4.2.3 The Concept of Approximation as Basis for the Theory	30
4.2.4 Macroscopic Events	31
4.2.5 Deterministic Processes	33
4.2.6 Irreversibility, Causality and the Direction of Time	34
4.2.7 The Entropy of a Macroscopic System	37
4.2.8 The Increase of Phase-space Volume	39
4.2.9 Exceptional States and ϵ -Processes	39

4.2.10	Further Development of the Theory	41
4.2.11	The Quantum-Mechanical Substitute for Phase-Space Regions	42
5	Heuristic Derivation of the Confidence Theory	44
5.1	The Problematic Nature of the Concept of State	44
5.2	The Irreducibility of Collapses	45
5.3	The Collapse-Projection Connection	45
5.4	The Conceptual Basis of a Physical Theory	47
5.5	Initial and Boundary Conditions	47
5.6	Goal and General Line of Direction	48
5.7	A Theory Describing Successive Transitions	49
5.8	Basing the Theory on Observables	49
5.9	First Argument for Using Projections	49
5.10	Second Argument for Using Projections	51
5.11	Initial Conditions and Equiangularity	51
5.12	Successive Transitions	53
5.13	A Principle of Equiangularity	55
5.14	Space Localizations as Basic Observables	56
5.15	Confidence Estimates	57
5.16	Conclusion	57
II	Axiomatic Formulation	59
	Introduction to Part II	60
6	General Principles of Deductive Theoretical Physics	61
6.1	The Concept of a Deductive Physical Theory	61
6.2	Principles of Interpretation	61
6.3	Events as Basic Observables	63
6.4	Stochastic Event Structures	63
6.5	Time-ordering and Time	65
6.6	Space-time Localization of Events	65
6.7	Localization of Particles as Basic Events	66
6.8	Derived Events	67
6.9	Dynamics	69
6.10	Self-limiting Theories	71
7	Hilbert Space Quantum Dynamics	73
7.1	Quantum-Mechanical Stochastic Event Structures	73
7.2	Equiangular Sequences of Projections	73
7.3	Stochastic Event Structures of Projections	74
7.4	Confidence Levels and approximate equiangularity	75
7.5	Wave Functions and Space Localization	76
7.6	Non-relativistic Quantum Dynamics	77
7.7	Covariant Wave Functions	78
7.8	Relativistic Quantum Electrodynamics as a Self-limiting Theory	79

III Mathematical Theory	81
Preface to Part III	82
I Confidence Approximation Theory	83
I.1 Approximate inclusions in Hilbert space	83
I.1.1 Distance From one Subspace to Another	84
I.1.2 Approximate Inclusions	88
I.1.3 Some Auxiliary Theorems on Approximation of Subspaces	90
I.1.4 Approximation in Product Spaces	92
I.2 Confidence Estimates and Fourier Transforms	94
I.2.1 Simultaneous Confidence Estimates on a Subspace of Functions and the Subspace of their Fourier Transforms	95
I.2.2 Finite Approximation Theory	105
II Causal Event Structures	106
II.1 Stochastic Event Structures	106
II.2 Compatibility of Events	108
II.3 Time-ordered Stochastic Event Structures	114
II.4 Space and Spacetime Localization of Events	118
II.5 Initial and Boundary Conditions	120
II.6 An Example: Concordance of Measurements	124
II.7 Deterministic Event Structures	125
II.8 Approximation of Stochastic Event Structures	127
III Equiangular Sequences of Projections	130
III.1 P -equiangular Projections	130
III.2 P -equiangular n -tuples of Projections	132
III.3 Equiangular (m, n) -tuples of Projections	137
III.4 Stochastic Event Structures of Projections	138
III.5 Equiangularity and Factorization	140
III.6 Approximately Equiangular (p_0, ϵ) -equiangular Sequences	143
III.7 Stochastic Event Structures of (p_0, ϵ) -equiangular Sequences	145
III.8 Physical Remarks	147
Summary	149
References to Part I and II	152
References to Part III	152

Preface to the 2014 Publication

This publication of mathematical speculations and writings made during the 1970:s and early 1980:s contains the general principles of a proposed deductive approach to theoretical physics and an outline of a mathematical theory. A rigorous and self-contained exposition of the three most basic concepts of the theory, containing the definitions and theorems quoted in Part II, is given in *Successive Confidence Estimates on Solutions to the Many-Particle Schrödinger Equation. Basic Concepts* which is included in this book as Part III. The reference lists are incomplete in the sense that I have not been in a position to do ordinary studies of and make ordinary references to other existing works related to the present work.

* * *

First of all I wish to thank Lars E. Henriksson. It was Lars who, together with and supported by Peje Löfgren, took the initiative to publish these old mathematical speculations and writings of mine. Lars performed and managed all that administration work that was necessary. Without Lars' great, due to my own shortcomings necessary, patience, this publication would not have been realized.

I wish to thank Peje Löfgren for many years of profound mathematical discussions. Many of these have bearing on my own work. Let me just give one example. A central concept in the present theory is that of finite approximations. I owe much to Peje's own work on this subject.

I wish to thank Jan Pilotti. Jan has read Parts I and II of the manuscript critically and has pointed out several important corrections and elucidations.

I also wish to thank Anders Källström for help with the mathematical typesetting of the manuscript.

To all I wish to express my great gratitude.

Stockholm August 2014

Tomas Blomberg

Preface

The principal object of the following theory is to treat and develop theoretical physics as a deductive science.

Common theoretical physics, although deductive in certain parts or steps, generally displays an apparent lack of deductiveness. There are excellent examples of completely deductive theories such as e.g. Newtonian point mechanics, but they appear as small isolated and widely separated islands when considered in relation to our complete physical knowledge. Practically every theoretical discussion frequently introduces extra, often implicit, assumptions depending on the specific problem under concern, without deriving the validity of these extra assumptions from basic postulates. In some cases these extra assumptions are more or less obvious or natural (although they might be difficult to prove). In some cases they are rather doubtful. However, it is a remarkable fact that derivations of such extra assumptions are often missing in the literature even in cases where it would be a straightforward task to work out a rigorous proof. For example, we will not take for granted, but derive rigorously, the fact that light propagates along straight lines and with the “velocity of light” c . A rigorous and complete formulation and proof of this statement needs a thorough consideration of confidence estimates on wave packets. Although quite nontrivial, it is obtained by a rather elementary exercise in Fourier transform theory. It ought to be found in any thorough theoretical discussion on light.

A similar situation appears when one theory is a special case of another more general theory. There are seldom any attempts to derive in a more definite way the validity of the basic principles of the special theory from the more general theory. The task of working out such derivations, connecting different theories, is of a central interest in the following theory. Let us note that such a derivation is not only a matter of formality. It is in fact intimately related to the problem of finding the exact conditions under which the special theory is applicable and such conditions have an immediate physical significance. Often the special theory appears as an approximation of the more general theory and one also wants to know the degree of accuracy of this approximation, which also has an obvious physical significance. The lack of derivations discussed means that important physical questions are left outside the theoretical treatment.

A consequence of this general lack of deductiveness is also that it makes it practically impossible to apply the mathematical method effectively. The central position of proofs in the mathematical method is intimately connected to the function of mathematics as an “art of computation”. Computations are in fact examples of the deductive method. There is no principal difference between a proof, which in a deductive way leads to a qualitative prediction and a computation, which in a deductive way leads to a quantitative prediction. Thus, we see again that deductiveness is not only a question of formality but has a practical importance. It is only when we have complete deductiveness that we can fully exploit the power of the theoretical method.

Parallel to the lack of rigorous proofs there is in common theoretical physics a pervading lack of precise definitions of important concepts used in the theories.

This indicates that the apparent lack of deductiveness is connected to general conceptual problems of theoretical physics. In order to develop a general deductive theoretical physics we have to solve the following two problems:

- 1) Establish a general conceptual basis for deductive theoretical physics.
- 2) Establish a formulation of quantum mechanics with general and unproblematic applicability to physical problems.

These two problems are closely connected since the solution of one of them presupposes a solution of the other. Classical theoretical physics is composed of a set of disconnected theories, mechanics, the electromagnetic theory, thermodynamics, etc., and the only theory which offers the possibility of a general theory, encompassing these classical theories, is quantum mechanics. On the other hand we claim that a solution of the controversial conceptual problems of quantum mechanics presupposes a general conceptual deductive framework. Our proposal for solving these two problems is the embedding of the Schrödinger equation formalism in a general “physico-logical” structure which we shall call “stochastic event structure”. This structure provides basic concepts for direct descriptions both of classical and quantum phenomena in a unified and objectivistic way. The Schrödinger equation then complements this descriptive structure with a general dynamics generalizing and encompassing the classical theories.

The theory proposed in part II below is at the same time a mathematical theory and a physical theory. As a physical theory it has of course a phenomenological character. Thus, the mathematical theory below is suggested by speculations on quantum mechanics, which in turn has its origin in the physical phenomenology, and the purpose of the theory is to describe the physical reality. As any physical theory it then ultimately stands or falls depending on its further success in describing, analyzing and predicting physical phenomena. We can thus distinguish three different steps in the development of a physical theory.

- 1) Axiomatize the theory. This means that we establish the basic mathematical concepts which are to describe the basic physical concepts of the theory and establish in a mathematical form the basic laws connecting these concepts. The axiomatization thus results in a specific mathematical theory.
- 2) Develop this mathematical theory.
- 3) Compare results obtained in the mathematical theory with the physical reality.

It is important for the deductiveness of the theory that we are in a position where steps 1 and 3 present no problems and we can deal mathematically with step 2 in a free way, undisturbed by unformalized physical questions. We claim that the theory proposed below meets this demand.

The purpose of the following exposition is to give the general principles of the theory i.e. establish step 1) above. For the mathematical development of the theory, step 2) above, we refer to the self-contained, purely mathematical exposition given in Part III.