

*Proof.* Let  $u = \sum_{i=1}^m u_i$ ,  $u_i$  in  $N_i$  and  $v = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i$ ,  $v_i$  in  $N'_i$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|^2 &= \sum_{i,j} \langle u_i, u_j \rangle = \sum \|u_i\|^2 + \varepsilon' \quad \text{where} \quad \varepsilon' = \sum_{i \neq j} \langle u_i, u_j \rangle \\ |\varepsilon'| &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{i \neq j} \|u_i\| \cdot \|u_j\| = \varepsilon \left[ \left( \sum \|u_i\| \right) \left( \sum \|u_j\| \right) - \sum \|u_i\|^2 \right] \\ &\leq \varepsilon(m-1) \sum \|u_i\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|u\|^2 \geq \left[ 1 - (m-1)\varepsilon \right] \sum \|u_i\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum \|u_i\|^2$$

if  $2m\varepsilon < 1$  and similarly for  $v$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle u, v \rangle| &= \left| \sum_i \sum_j \langle u_i, v_j \rangle \right| \leq \varepsilon \sum_i \sum_j \|u_i\| \cdot \|v_j\| = \varepsilon \left( \sum \|u_i\| \right) \left( \sum \|v_j\| \right) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \cdot m \cdot n \left[ \sum \|u_i\|^2 \right]^{1/2} \cdot \left[ \sum \|v_j\|^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq 2mn\varepsilon \cdot \|u\| \cdot \|v\|. \end{aligned}$$

and thus  $u$  and  $v$  are  $2mn\varepsilon$ -orthogonal. If  $2m\varepsilon \geq 1$  or  $2n\varepsilon \geq 1$ , then  $2mn\varepsilon \geq 1$  and the statement is trivially satisfied.  $\square$

### I.1.3 Some Auxiliary Theorems on Approximation of Subspaces

**Lemma I.1:23.**  $N_1 \subset_\varepsilon N_2$  if for every vector  $u_1$  in  $N_1$  there exists a  $u_2$  in  $N_2$  with

$$\|u_1 - u_2\| \leq \varepsilon \cdot \|u_1\|$$

*Proof.* A direct consequence of lemma I.1:4 and remark 1 after definition I.1.1:3 if  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are closed. If  $N_1$  or  $N_2$  are not closed, let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be the closures of  $N_1$  and  $N_2$ . The statement for  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  then follows from the statement for  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  by using remark 3 after theorem I.1:8.  $\square$

**Lemma I.1:24.**  $N_1 \subset_\varepsilon M_2$  iff for every  $u$  in  $N_1$

$$\|u - P_2 u\| \leq \varepsilon \|u\|,$$

where  $P_2$  is the projection on  $M_2$

*Proof.* A direct consequence of lemma I.1:4 and remark 1 after definition I.1:3  $\square$

**Lemma I.1:25.** If  $N_1 \subset_\varepsilon M_2$  and  $P_2$  is the projection on  $M_2$  then

$$\begin{aligned} N_1 &\subset_\varepsilon P_2 N_1 \quad \text{and} \\ P_2 N_1 &\subset_\varepsilon N_1. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The first statement follows directly from lemma I.1:24.

Let  $u$  be an arbitrary nonzero vector in  $P_2 N_1$ . Then  $u$  is of the form  $u = P_2 v$  with  $v$  in  $N_1$  and lemma I.1:4 gives  $\text{dist}(u, v) = \text{dist}(v, u) = \text{dist}(v, P_2 v) = \text{dist}(v, M_2) \leq$

$\varepsilon$ . Thus for arbitrary nonzero  $u$  in  $P_2N_1$  there is a  $v$  in  $N_1$  with  $\text{dist}(u, v) \leq \varepsilon$ . Hence  $\text{dist}(P_2N_1, N_1) \leq \varepsilon$  and  $P_2N_1 \subset_{\varepsilon} N_1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma I.1:26.** Suppose that  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are orthogonal and that  $M_1 \subset_{\varepsilon_1} M'_1$  and  $M_2 \subset_{\varepsilon_2} M'_2$ . Then  $M_1 \oplus M_2 \subset_{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2} M'_1 \oplus M'_2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u$  be an arbitrary vector in  $M_1 \oplus M_2$ . Then  $u$  is of the form  $u = u_1 + u_2$  with  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  in  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  respectively. By lemma I.1:23 there exists  $u'_1$  and  $u'_2$  in  $M'_1$  and  $M'_2$  respectively with  $\|u_1 - u'_1\| \leq \varepsilon_1 \|u_1\|$  and  $\|u_2 - u'_2\| \leq \varepsilon_2 \|u_2\|$ . Hence there exists an  $u' = u'_1 + u'_2$  in  $M'_1 \oplus M'_2$  with

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - u'\| &\leq \|u_1 - u'_1\| + \|u_2 - u'_2\| \leq \varepsilon_1 \|u_1\| + \varepsilon_2 \|u_2\| \\ &\leq (\varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2)^{1/2} (\|u_1\|^2 + \|u_2\|^2)^{1/2} = (\varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2)^{1/2} \|u\| \\ &\leq (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) \|u\| \end{aligned}$$

since  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are orthogonal and thus  $M_1 \oplus M_2 \subset_{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2} M'_1 \oplus M'_2$   $\square$

**Lemma I.1:27.** Suppose  $M \subset_{\varepsilon'} M'$  and  $M \subset_{\varepsilon''} M''$ . Then  $M \subset_{\varepsilon' + \varepsilon''} P'' M'$  where  $P''$  is the projection on  $M''$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u$  be an arbitrary vector in  $M$  and let  $P'$  be the projection on  $M'$ . Then by lemma I.1:24  $\|P' u - u\| \leq \varepsilon' \|u\|$ ,  $\|P'' u - u\| \leq \varepsilon'' \|u\|$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \|P'' P' u - u\| &\leq \|P'' P' u - P'' u\| + \|P'' u - u\| \leq \|P''(P' u - u)\| + \varepsilon'' \|u\| \\ &\leq \|P' u - u\| + \varepsilon'' \|u\| \leq (\varepsilon' + \varepsilon'') \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $P'' P' u$  is in  $P'' M'$ , lemma I.1:23 then gives that  $M \subset_{\varepsilon' + \varepsilon''} P'' M'$ .  $\square$

**Lemma I.1:28.** Suppose  $M \subset_{\varepsilon'} M'$  and  $M \subset_{\varepsilon''} M''$ . Then  $P'' M \subset_{\varepsilon' + \varepsilon''} M'$  where  $P''$  is the projection on  $M''$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u$  be a vector in  $M$ . Then we have that  $\text{dist}(P'' u, u) = \text{dist}(u, P'' u) \leq \varepsilon''$ ,  $\text{dist}(u, P' u) \leq \varepsilon'$  and by lemma I.1:5

$$\text{dist}(P'' u, P' u) \leq \text{dist}(P'' u, u) + \text{dist}(u, P' u) \leq \varepsilon' + \varepsilon''.$$

Since  $P' u$  is in  $M'$ , this shows that  $\text{dist}(P'' u, M') \leq \varepsilon' + \varepsilon''$  and since an arbitrary vector in  $P'' M$  is of the form  $P'' u$  with  $u$  in  $M$ , this also shows that  $\text{dist}(P'' M, M') \leq \varepsilon' + \varepsilon''$  and it follows that  $P'' M \subset_{\varepsilon' + \varepsilon''} M'$ .  $\square$

**Lemma I.1:29.** Suppose that  $M_1 = R(P_1)$ ,  $M_2 = R(P_2)$ ,  $P$  commutes with  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  and  $M_1 \subset_{\varepsilon} M_2$ . Then  $PM_1 \subset_{\varepsilon} PM_2$ .

*Proof.* For arbitrary  $u$  in  $PM_1$ , we have, since  $PM_1 \subset M_1$ , by lemma I.1:24 that  $\|u - P_2 u\| \leq \varepsilon$ . But  $P_2 u = P_2 P u = P P_2 u$  is in  $PM_2$  and the result follows from lemma I.1:23.  $\square$

From the preceding theorems and lemmas one can derive more composite results. The following will be used in I.2.1.

**Lemma I.1:30.** Suppose that

- 1)  $M_0 \subset M_1 \oplus M_2$ ,  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  orthogonal,
- 2)  $M'_1 \oplus M'_2 = \mathcal{H}$ ,  $M'_1$  and  $M'_2$  orthogonal,
- 3)  $M_0 \subset_{\varepsilon'} M'_1$ ,
- 4)  $M_2 \subset_{\varepsilon''} M'_2$ ,

Then  $M_0 \subset_{\varepsilon'+\varepsilon''} M_1$

*Proof.* Let  $P_2$  be the projection on  $M_2$ . By 2), 4) and theorem I.1:18  $M'_1 = M_2'^c \subset_{\varepsilon''} M_2^c$ . 3) and theorem I.1:16 then gives  $M_0 \subset_{\varepsilon'+\varepsilon''} M_2^c$ . But  $M_0 \subset_0 M_1 \oplus M_2$  according to 1) and lemma I.1:27 then gives  $M_0 \subset_{\varepsilon'+\varepsilon''} P_2^c(M_1 \oplus M_2) = M_1$ .  $\square$

### I.1.4 Approximation in Product Spaces

In this section we shall consider several complex, separable Hilbert spaces,  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \dots$  simultaneously. Although we shall use the same notation  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  for scalar product,  $^c$  for orthogonal complement etc. in different spaces, there should be no risk for confusion since the arguments in the expressions will always make clear what is meant.

**Definition I.1:31.** Let  $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_n$ , be Hilbert spaces. A mapping  $T$  of  $\mathcal{H}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{H}_n$  into  $\mathcal{H}$  is called a tensor product mapping and we write

$$T : \otimes \mathcal{H}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$$

if

- 1°  $\langle T(u_1, \dots, u_n), T(u'_1, \dots, u'_n) \rangle = \langle u_1, u'_1 \rangle \dots \langle u_n, u'_n \rangle$  for any  $u_i, u'_i$  in  $\mathcal{H}_i, i = 1, \dots, n$
- 2°  $\mathcal{H}$  is the closed linear hull of  $T(\mathcal{H}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{H}_n)$ .

If  $M_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  are closed linear subspaces in  $\mathcal{H}_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  respectively, the closed linear hull of  $T(M_1 \times \dots \times M_n)$  will be denoted by  $\bigotimes_{i=1}^n M_i$ , or alternatively by  $M_1 \otimes_T M_2 \otimes_T \dots \otimes_T M_n$ , thus especially  $\mathcal{H} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H}_i$ . If some of the factors  $M_i$  are one dimensional spanned by vectors  $u_i$  we shall also write  $M_1 \otimes_T \dots \otimes_T u_i \otimes_T \dots$  for their product, thus especially  $T(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n u_i = u_1 \otimes_T \dots \otimes_T u_n$ .

If  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are bounded linear operators in  $\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_n$  respectively we denote by  $\bigotimes_{i=1}^n A_i = A_1 \otimes_T \dots \otimes_T A_n$  the bounded linear operator, which is the extension to  $\mathcal{H}$  of the bounded map  $A_0$  in  $T(\mathcal{H}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{H}_n)$  defined by

$$A_0 \left( \bigotimes_{i=1}^n u_i \right) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n A_i u_i, \quad u_i \text{ in } \mathcal{H}_i, i = 1, \dots, n$$

If  $T : \otimes \mathcal{H}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ , we shall also say that  $T$  is a tensor product decomposition of  $\mathcal{H}$  into  $\mathcal{H}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{H}_n$ .

**Lemma I.1:32.** Suppose that

$$T : \otimes \mathcal{H}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$$

and  $\{u_{i,j}\}, j \in J_i$  is an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $\{u_k\}, k \in K = J_1 \times \dots \times J_n$  where  $u_k = u_{1,j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes u_{n,j_n}, k = (j_1, \dots, j_n)$ , is an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}$ .

*Proof.* A simple consequence of definition I.1:31. □

**Lemma I.1:33.** Let  $\{u_{i,j}\}, i \in I, j \in J$  be a double-indexed orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}$  and set  $\mathcal{H}_1 = l^2(I), \mathcal{H}_2 = l^2(J)$  and

$$T(u, v) = \sum_{\substack{i \in I \\ j \in J}} a_i b_j u_{i,j}$$

for  $u = \{a_i\}, i \in I, v = \{b_j\}, j \in J$ . Then

$$T : \otimes \mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}.$$

*Proof.* Also a simple consequence of definition I.1:31. □

The following variant of the preceding lemma will be used in III.5.

**Lemma I.1:34.** Suppose that

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$$

is a decomposition of  $\mathcal{H}$  into orthogonal closed subspaces  $M_i, i \in I$ , all with the same dimension and

$$U_i : \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow M_i$$

are isometric onto mappings and set

$$T(u, v) = \sum_{i \in I} a_i U_i v$$

for  $u = \{a_i\}, i \in I$  in  $l^2(I)$  and  $v$  in  $\mathcal{H}_2$ . Then  $T : \otimes l^2(I) \times \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ .

*Proof.* Also a simple consequence of definition I.1:31. □

**Theorem I.1:35.** Suppose that  $T : \otimes \mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, M_1$  and  $M_2$  are closed subspaces in  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $M'_1 = M_1 \otimes_T \mathcal{H}_2, M'_2 = M_2 \otimes_T \mathcal{H}_1$ . Then  $M_1 \subset_\varepsilon M_2$  iff  $M'_1 \subset_\varepsilon M'_2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{u_i\}, i \in I = I_1 \cup I_2$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}_1$  such that  $\{u_i\}, i \in I_1$  is a basis for  $M_2$  and  $\{u_i\}, i \in I_2$  is a basis for  $M_2^c$ . Let  $\{v_j\}, j \in J$  be an orthonormal basis of the space  $\mathcal{H}_2$ . Then, by lemma I.1:32,  $\{u_i \otimes_T v_j\}, i \in I, j \in J$  is an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\{u_i \otimes_T v_j\}, i \in I_k, j \in J$  is an orthonormal basis for  $M_2 \otimes_T \mathcal{H}_2$  if  $k = 1$  and for  $M_2^c \otimes_T \mathcal{H}_2$  if  $k = 2$ .